

Editorial

Editorial: The Role of Robotics in Joint Arthroplasty, Transforming Precision and Outcomes

Ahmed Siddiqi, DO, MBA, FACS, FAAOS¹^a

¹ Orthopedic Institute Brielle Orthopaedics, Orthopedic Institute Brielle Orthopedics (OrthoNJ), New Jersey, USA

Keywords: Robotic, Total knee arthroplasty, Total hip arthroplasty

<https://doi.org/10.58616/001c.129496>

SurgiColl

Vol. 3, Issue 1, 2025



Dr. Ahmed Siddiqi is a board-certified, fellowship-trained orthopedic surgeon specializing in Adult Reconstruction. He practices at OIB Ortho, a division of Ortho NJ, and holds the position of Associate Professor at Hackensack Meridian School of Medicine.

Total joint arthroplasty (TJA) has witnessed remarkable advancements over the past few decades, with technological innovations continually enhancing patient outcomes. Among these innovations, robotic-assisted joint replacement has emerged as a game-changer, offering unprecedented precision, reproducibility, and improved patient satisfaction. As the field of orthopedic surgery continues to evolve, robotics in arthroplasty is poised to become an essential component of modern surgical practice.

ENHANCING PRECISION AND ACCURACY

Traditional TJA, while highly effective, relies heavily on the surgeon's expertise and experience in achieving proper implant positioning and alignment. Robotic-assisted systems provide real-time intraoperative feedback, allowing for precise bone preparation, optimal implant positioning, and enhanced soft-tissue balancing. Studies have demonstrated that robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty (TKA) results in improved component alignment and lower rates of outliers compared to conventional methods.¹

PERSONALIZED AND PATIENT-SPECIFIC APPROACH

Robotic-assisted systems integrate preoperative imaging, such as computed tomography (CT) or intraoperative mapping, to generate a patient-specific surgical plan. This level of customization ensures that each procedure is tailored to the individual's unique anatomy, leading to better kinematic function and overall joint biomechanics. A study by Marchand et al.² highlighted that robotic-assisted total hip arthroplasty (THA) improves leg length discrepancy and component placement, reducing complications such as impingement and dislocation.

MINIMALLY INVASIVE TECHNIQUES AND SOFT-TISSUE PRESERVATION

One of the most significant advantages of robotics in arthroplasty is the ability to perform muscle-sparing and minimally invasive procedures. By enhancing the surgeon's ability to make precise bone cuts and soft-tissue adjustments, robotic systems help preserve surrounding structures, reducing postoperative pain, faster recovery, and improved range of motion. In muscle-sparing knee replacements, robotic guidance has been shown to improve early functional outcomes and expedite rehabilitation.³

REDUCING COMPLICATIONS AND IMPROVING LONGEVITY

Implant malposition and soft-tissue imbalance are significant contributors to early implant failure and revision surgery. Robotics aids in achieving optimal implant placement, reducing polyethylene wear and stress on surrounding structures, which may ultimately improve prosthesis longevity. A meta-analysis by Batailler et al.⁴ found that ro-

^a Corresponding Author:

Ahmed Siddiqi, DO, MBA, FACS, FAAOS

Orthopedic Institute Brielle Orthopaedics, Orthopedic Institute Brielle Orthopedics (OrthoNJ), 2315 Route 34, Manasquan, NJ 08736, USA

asiddiqi@oibortho.com

botic-assisted TKA results in lower revision rates and improved mid-term survivorship compared to conventional techniques.

Still, the future of arthroplasty undoubtedly lies in the hands of robotic precision.

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite its advantages, robotic-assisted arthroplasty has challenges, including high initial costs, increased operative time, and a potentially steep learning curve for surgeons. Additionally, long-term outcome data are still being collected to substantiate the true clinical benefits over decades. However, as technology evolves and costs decrease, robotic systems are expected to become more accessible, further solidifying their role in joint arthroplasty. Future advancements may include artificial intelligence-driven robotics and augmented reality integration, further refining surgical accuracy and patient outcomes.

Robotic-assisted joint arthroplasty represents a paradigm shift in orthopedic surgery, enhancing precision, reducing complications, and improving patient satisfaction. As adoption increases and technology advances, robotics will likely become the standard of care in hip and knee replacement surgery. Further research and long-term data collection are essential to fully understand the impact of robotics on implant longevity and functional outcomes.

DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author do NOT have any potential conflicts of interest for this manuscript.'

DECLARATION OF FUNDING

The author received NO financial support for the preparation, research, authorship, and publication of this manuscript.

DECLARATION OF ETHICAL APPROVAL FOR STUDY

Not applicable

DECLARATION OF INFORMED CONSENT

Not applicable

Submitted: February 03, 2025 EDT. Accepted: February 06, 2025 EDT. Published: March 22, 2025 EDT.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> and legal code at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal N, To K, McDonnell S, Khan W. Clinical and Radiological Outcomes in Robotic-Assisted Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Arthroplasty*. 2020;35(11):3393-3409.e2. doi:[10.1016/j.arth.2020.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.03.005)
2. Illgen RL, Nd BR, Bukowski R, et al. Robotic-Assisted Total Hip Arthroplasty: Outcomes at Minimum Two-Year Follow-Up. *Surg Technol Int*. 2017;30:365-372.
3. Deckey DG, Rosenow CS, Verhey JT, et al. Robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty improves accuracy and precision compared to conventional techniques. *Bone Joint J*. 2021;103-B(6 Supple A):74-80. doi:[10.1302/0301-620X.103B6.BJJ-2020-2003.R1](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.103B6.BJJ-2020-2003.R1)
4. Ren Y, Cao S, Wu J, Weng X, Feng B. Efficacy and reliability of active robotic-assisted total knee arthroplasty compared with conventional total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Postgrad Med J*. 2019;95(1121):125-133. doi:[10.1136/postgradmedj-2018-136190](https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2018-136190)